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For many cattle producers, this is a time of year when important decisions are made regarding the cow herd. Many producers begin to receive sale catalogs, view sale publications, and may be receiving calls from previous bull suppliers. It is important for producers to make sound judgments about their herd sires. A poor bull buying decision might leave a producer with a product they don’t need or don’t want in their herd. On the other hand, a good bull buying decision will increase the chance of a profitable calf crop.

Why is bull selection important?

Sire selection, on average, has a greater impact on the genetic improvement of a herd than most producers realize. Because the sire is more likely to produce a higher number of calves in his lifetime compared to a cow, a sire has the potential to contribute a larger portion of the genes to the herd. Therefore, it is important to manage the risk associated with a new bull purchase. Fortunately, the level of risk associated with the selection of a new bull is manageable using well planned breeding programs and high quality information.

Setting Herd Goals
A good breeding plan is essential when establishing both short and long-term herd goals. Many times, we fail to spend adequate time defining our selection priorities. Most successful cattle managers have a written plan and a list of both short and long term goals for their cow herds. Their goals are well defined, achievable, and revised on an annual basis.

Where do you start? The answer to this question is not straightforward because of the diversity within the cattle industry. Therefore, a producer should assess their current position in the industry and ask themselves several questions:

What type of cattle do I produce?

What industry segments do I represent (cow-calf, stocker, feeder, seedstock)?

What impact do my cattle have on the beef industry?

The next logical step is to determine the current status of the herd for performance and profitability. It is difficult to make improvements in performance and profitability when the present status of the herd is undefined. It is necessary to evaluate current performance records, herd averages, costs of production, and sources of revenue. Having an established starting position makes it easier to develop goals for genetic improvement.
Many cattle producers are able to determine their herd’s performance level because they record useful information on their herd. For example, most producers record weights (e.g., birth). Unfortunately, the average cattle producer does not maintain the same level of financial information on their operation. For some producers, it is difficult for them to quote their current average cost per cow. If costs and revenue are well known, the task of determining the economic importance of traits is easier.

**Customers**

How often do you think about the goals of your customer? Do you know who your customer is? Many successful businesses use a customer evaluation system to determine the level of client satisfaction. This same principle is important to the beef industry and our herd goals must be synchronized with the goals of our clients. Understanding the needs of your customer is a necessary step toward profitable selection decisions.

**Selection**

What traits should I emphasize in my bull selection? This is a common question and there is not a simple answer. A large number of predictions for traits are available for most beef cattle breeds. Using this information and other types of information, cattle producers can select the best animals to match their production and marketing environments. Traits can be grouped into the following categories: growth and production, maternal, carcass, reproductive, survivability and adaptability, and convenience (i.e., udder quality). It is important to determine the traits with economic importance. A producer should ask the questions, “Do the traits I have in my selection criteria contribute to reducing costs of production or increasing revenue?” “Are the traits important to the success and profitability of my customer?”

Ideally, the traits of economic importance include those that increase profitability. For example, one producer may have a limited amount of labor during calving; therefore, calving ease would most likely be a good choice. Another cattle producer might market his cattle through a retained ownership program, and premiums or discounts are awarded for carcass quality. This producer would place a greater emphasis on carcass traits. These examples do not promote selection emphasis on one trait or trait category. Important trait categories, such as reproduction, should not be overlooked. Previous research has shown that in a cow calf operation, where calves are sold at weaning, reproduction has greater economic impact over growth and carcass trait categories.

**Bull Buying**

The late Robert Taylor at Colorado State University often used the analogy of a Want Ad as an approach to buying the right bull. In the Want Ad, a producer would identify the desirable characteristics of both the cattle and supplier. Purchasing a bull can be similar to buying a car. For cattle producers to get the best deal available, they need to research and study all available information about the seller’s cattle before going to the sale. A buyer needs to ask what features are mandatory for my bull and what options do I want in
the bull? Some options might include high growth rate and easy calving. Additionally, the
producer should ask how much they are willing to pay for the different options above
the basic model price.

Selection tools available to cattle producers to assist with selection decisions include, but
are not limited to, pedigrees, EPDs, ratios, carcass data, financial records, indexes, and
many others. Having a large amount of information is a benefit; however, the volume of
information can be overwhelming. If a cattle producer devotes the necessary time to
analyze many of the previously mentioned items, including their financial records, the
economically important traits are more likely to surface.

Currently, Expected Progeny Differences (EPD) are the highest accuracy selection tool
available and a superior prediction of performance compared to an animal’s actual trait
observation. Expected progeny differences account for differences in herd management
and feed environment, genetic differences between herds, the genetic merit of parents,
and culling for poor performance. Unfortunately, EPD cannot account for incomplete
reporting, inaccurate reporting of data, incomplete pedigrees, and inaccurate assignment
of animals to contemporary groups. Therefore, it is very important for producers to
correctly and accurately report all information on their cattle.

What is an EPD? According to Rick Bourdon, formerly with Colorado State University,
“an EPD is a prediction of the difference between the average performance of future
progeny of an individual and the performance of theoretical reference animals with an
EPD of zero.” Using birth weight EPD as an example, imagine there are two sires being
mated to the exact same cow herd. Sire A has an EPD of 0 and sire B has an EPD of +10.
On average, sire B is expected to produce calves 10 pounds heavier at birth than calves
from sire A. Simply, an EPD is a prediction of progeny performance.

What is accuracy? Many sire summary catalogs will list accuracy values next to the
corresponding list of EPDs. Accuracy is a measure of the amount and type of
information used to calculate an EPD. It determines the reliability of the EPD and
provides you with a way to determine the amount of information going into the each
genetic prediction. For example, an individual with an accuracy of 0.10 might just have
pedigree information contributing to its EPD; however, an accuracy value of 0.99 has
thousands of observations contributing to its EPD.

How should a person categorize accuracy? One way to evaluate accuracy values is to
group accuracy levels into categories. The low range for accuracy might be 0 to .40, the
moderate range from .40 to .80, and the high range from .80 to 1.0. On the low end, the
EPD are much better than a guess and probably include information on the animal, the
parents, and siblings. The moderate accuracy values are a higher risk, but they are useful
for making selection decisions. The high accuracy genetic predictions are very reliable
and useful in making comparisons. Often yearling bulls are criticized for having low
accuracy EPD, but this is because a smaller amount of information is contributing to their
EPD. If given the choice between an EPD and an actual observation to make a selection
decision, the most reliable decision will be with the EPD.
As good beef cattle managers, take the time to admire your successes and critically evaluate your mistakes. It is important to maintain quality financial and performance records. Always be critical of the performance and profitability of your cattle in their production environment. Keep profit in mind when making selection decisions and ask yourself if you can raise better cattle at a lower cost and higher profit.